UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SILVIA SANDOVAL,

Plaintiff

v.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

18

19

21

22

23

ALBERTSONS, LLC,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:17-cv-00959-APG-PAL

Order Rejecting Proposed Joint Pretrial

[ECF No. 57]

The parties' proposed Joint Pretrial Order (ECF No. 57) does not comply with Local Rules 16-3 and 16-4. For example, each party's exhibit list includes the Complaint, Answer, and 10 the same medical records, yet the parties do not stipulate to the admissibility of any. The 11 defendant lists "any and all documents provided by Plaintiff in her Early Case Conference List of 12 Documents and all supplements thereto." That is improper, as specific exhibits are to be listed so 13 the other party can lodge objections. Neither party states any objections to the other side's 14 exhibits, as required by Local Rule 16-3(b)(8)(B). Because the parties do not object to any 15 exhibits, they should stipulate to the admission of all exhibits.

The parties list several "PMK" and "COR" witnesses. The parties should know by now 17 the names of the witnesses they intend to present at trial. If those witnesses were not identified during discovery, they cannot be called at trial.

The defendant attempts to designate "all witnesses listed in Plaintiff's Early Case Conference List of Witnesses and any supplements thereto or designated by Plaintiff," and "all witnesses listed in Defendant's FRCP 26 list of witnesses." Again, Local Rule 16-3(b)(12) requires specific witnesses to be named.

The section titled Action by the Court does not comply with Local Rule 16-4.

The requirements in Local Rules 16-3 and 16-4 are designed to streamline the trial preparation and presentation, and to foster settlement. The parties cannot simply wait to make trial decisions until the eve of trial. If they do, they cannot fully participate in settlement discussions. It is apparent from the proposed Joint Pretrial Order that the parties either ignored Local Rule 16-3, or did not properly conduct the required conference in the spirit of the rule.

IT IS ORDERED that the parties' Joint Pretrial Order (**ECF No. 57**) is **REJECTED.**The parties shall confer as required in Local Rule 16-3, and submit a Joint Pretrial Order that complies with Local Rules 16-3 and 16-4 by May 21, 2019.

DATED this 1st day of May, 2019.

ANDREW P. GORDON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE